



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			Ţ.	
APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/962,645	11/03/1997	HISASHI KAWAI	35.G1460-CI	9119
5514	7590 . 07/16/2003			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFF NEW YORK,	ELLER PLAZA NY 10112		NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2612 DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
· ·	08/962,645	KAWAI, HISASHI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LUONG T NGUYEN	2612
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	٧.	
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	from the mailing date of this communication.  OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status 		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	<del>.</del>	
,	This action is non-final.	
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims</li> </ol>		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-21,23,28 and 30-32</u> is/are pend	ling in the application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iami nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-21, 23, 28, 30-32</u> is/are rejected	I	
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	••	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement	
Application Papers	aron oroganomiona,	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	pproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Appli	ication No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a limit</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·	
a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language p	provisional application has been	received.
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome httachment(s)	suc priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121.
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Internitory 0	amony (PTO 442) Pages Na/s)
) ☐ Notice of References Cited (P10-892) ) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) ) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2612

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/29/2003 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-21, 23, 28, 30-32 filed on 4/29/2003 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 28, 30-32 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 28 (line 7), "mount table" should be changed to --mount table;--.

Claims 30-32 are objected as being dependent on claim 28.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2612

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 14-18, 20-21, 23, 28, 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohyama et al (US 5,247,330) in view of Ishikawa et al. (US 4,939,580).

Regarding claims 14, 20, 21, 23, Ohyama et al. disclose an image input device comprising an image pickup unit, disclosed as camera unit 2 (figure 1, column 3, lines 1-5); an image pickup direction switch, disclosed as button 12 (figure 1, column 4, lines 34-40); mount table, disclosed as original pedestal 5 (figure 1). Ohyama et al. disclose the button 12 is operated to rotate the camera 12 in the direction of arrow a, it clearly shows that the button 12 is adapted to switch the direction of camera for picking up an image on the pedestal 5 and direction for picking up an image of a subject not on the pedestal 5.

Ohyama et al. fail to specifically disclose a storage unit. However, Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to include such storage unit in the device of Ohyama et al. in order to record the image data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use in the device of Ohyama et al. a storage unit in order to record the image data. Doing so, the image data can be reproduced for reviewing later. A control unit adapted to automatically store an image signal is considered inherent included in the device of Ohyama et al. to control store the image signal.

Ohyama et al. fail to specifically disclose an angle detection unit adapted to detect a change of an angle of the image pickup direction. However, Ishikawa et al. teaches connection member 133 which detects a change of angle of the direction of camera unit 2' (figures 8-10, column 8, lines 25-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 2612

at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Ohyama et al. by the teaching of Ishikawa et al. in order to detect a change of an angle of camera direction.

The Examiner notes that the phrase "adapted to" is used in the claim. This phrase is interpreted by the Examiner to be synonymous with "capable of". Therefore, a prior art device may be applied against the claimed device as long as the prior art device is capable of being "automatically store an image signal in a storage unit," even though it does not disclose it as so.

Regarding claim 15, Ishikawa et al. disclose a fixed direction detection unit adapted to determine whether the image pickup direction is fixed (camera unit 2' is fixed when connection member 133 is at position 132A or 132B, figure 10).

Regarding claim 16, in Ohyama et al., figure 1 shows camera unit 2 which moves in the direction indicated by arrow a (column 4, lines 34-40). Although a driving unit is not explicitly shown, it is considered inherent since the camera moves presumably in response to a user input button 12.

Regarding claim 17, Ohyama et al. disclose the camera unit 2 is capable of moving in the direction indicated by arrow a (column 4, lines 34-40). It would have been obvious to move camera between imaging a document and imaging a person in order to let the user select a desired direction. Images are stores from the camera at all times. Therefore, the time at which the camera changes position from a document to a person is also stored.

Application/Control Number: 08/962,645 Page 5

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 18, Ishikawa et al. disclose wherein said control unit is adapted to cause the stored image signal to be output (figure 10, when connection member 133 is at position 132A or 132B, video signal 126 is read out).

Regarding claim 28, all the limitations are contained in claim 14. Therefore, see Examiner's comment regarding claim 14.

Claim 30 is considered substantively equivalent to claim 18 discussed above.

Claim 31 is considered substantively equivalent to claim 20 discussed above.

Claim 32 is considered substantively equivalent to claim 21 discussed above.

6. Claims 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohyama et al (US 5,247,330) in view of Ishikawa et al. (US 4,939,580) further in view of Mizoguchi (EP 617562).

As for claim 19, Ohyama et al. and Ishikawa et al. do not explicitly state that the storage means includes more than two storage areas. This implies that two or more frames of image data may be stored in the memory. Mizoguchi also discloses a camera system that stores image data of people or images of events other than people. On page 4, lines 17+, Mizoguchi states that still image data can be stored as a group of image data. This allows for more than one frame of data to be stored at one time. This allows for more data to be replayed, which is advantageous. For this reason, it would have been obvious to have the storage means in the system of Ohyama et al.

Art Unit: 2612

and Ishikawa et al. capable of storing a plurality of frames by being divided into a plurality of

storage sections.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Luong Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-9297. If

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy

Garber, can be reach on (703) 305-4929.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)872 - 9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

LN LN 6/30/2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6